	Page 1			
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT			
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
3	Case No. 08-99000-smb			
4	Adv. Case No. 08-01789-smb			
5	x			
6	In the Matter of:			
7	BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC,			
8				
9	Debtor.			
10	x			
11	SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION,			
12	Plaintiff,			
13	v.			
14	BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES, et al.,			
15	Defendants.			
16	x			
17	U.S. Bankruptcy Court			
18	One Bowling Green			
19	New York, NY 10004			
20	June 15, 2016			
21	10:10 AM			
22				
23	BEFORE:			
24	HON STUART M. BERNSTEIN			
25	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE			

Page 2 Hearing re: Status Conference Regarding Certain Outstanding Subpoenas. Hearing re: Motion for an Order Approving Seventh Allocation of Property to the Fund of Customer Property and Authorizing Seventh Interim Distribution to Customers. Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

	1 9 3. 12
	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION
4	1667 K Street, N.W., Suite 1000
5	Washington, D.C. 20006
6	
7	BY: KEVIN H. BELL
8	
9	BAKER HOSTETLER
10	Attorney for the Debtor
11	45 Rockefeller Plaza
12	New York, NY 10111
13	
14	BY: EDWARD J. JACOBS
15	DAVID J. SHEEHAN
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 4 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE CLERK: Please be seated. 3 THE COURT: Good morning. Madoff? MR. JACOBS: Good morning, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Good morning. 6 MR. JACOBS: Edward Jacobs for the Trustee. 7 is, I believe, the first matter is the continuation of the 8 bank subpoena motion to quash filed by Ms. Chaitman in five 9 cases. 10 We -- those cases are Pickard v. Roth, and then 11 there are four additional cases which we refer to as the 12 Shapiro cases. The issue for the continuation was the fact 13 that in all five cases, while Ms. Chaitman filed the motion 14 to quash the bank subpoenas we served, she did not represent 15 the defendant for whom the bank subpoenas were directed. 16 So I'd like to provide just a very quick update, 17 and ask the Court for a continuation of these hearings until 18 the August 24th omnibus date, or whichever date is more 19 convenient for the Court. 20 In the Roth case, we've been able -- I'm pleased 21 to report we've been able to work directly with the 22 defendant to determine a hardship situation. We are -expect to be imminently filing a dismissal of that action. 23 We are just waiting on the defendant to authorize our 24 25 filing.

Page 5 1 In the Shapiro cases, the estate has hired new 2 counsel, but they have not yet entered a notice of appearance in those cases. The reason for that is because 3 4 the estate doesn't currently have a legal representative, 5 but we are working with new counsel to open a probate and 6 hopefully appoint a new representative so that we can 7 officially enter those notices of appearances, and then 8 dispel with the need for the pending motion. 9 THE COURT: Dispel or dispense? 10 MR. JACOBS: Dispel. We hope -- and we also hope 11 to engage in a fruitful settlement discussion in all four of those cases that would also obviate the need for the Court 12 13 to consider the pending motion. So to facilitate that, we 14 would ask the Court for a continuation to August 24th. 15 THE COURT: August 24th? 16 MR. JACOBS: I believe that that is the omnibus 17 date for August. 18 THE COURT: All right. 19 MR. JACOBS: Anything else, Your Honor? 20 THE COURT: No, not on that one. 21 MR. JACOBS: Okay. 22 THE COURT: But -- well, does anyone want to be 23 heard on the discovery matter? The record should reflect 24 there's no response. 25 MR. JACOBS: Great. Thank you.

Page 6 1 MR. SHEEHAN: Good morning, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Good morning. MR. SHEEHAN: David Sheehan on behalf of the 3 4 Trustee. 5 Your Honor, today is the return date of the 6 seventh interim allocation by the Trustee with regard to the 7 customer fund. Today's application seeks the distribution 8 of \$171 million as the seventh distribution. 9 As a result of that we will be paying those amounts to 972 claims. The average amount paid to each of 10 11 those claimants will be over \$175,000. And as a result of 12 this distribution, approximately -- well, not approximately, 13 exactly 1,289 accounts, relating to 1,480 claims, will be 14 fully satisfied and all those accounts have an equity up to 15 \$1.19 million. 16 So what this represents, Your Honor, is another, 17 you know, hallmark in the advancement of this case. Our 18 concern isn't with the 58 percent who've been fully satisfied, our concern is with the 42 that are left; and 19 20 that's what we work on every day. 21 I don't think there's any objection to this 22 allocation, Your Honor. And if Your Honor has any 23 questions, I'd be glad to answer them. 24 THE COURT: So what percentage of distribution 25 have you made on the claims? I realize some people have

Page 7

been completely satisfied.

MR. JACOBS: It's approximately 58.23 percent, about. I did -- there's -- now that you've asked, I'll tell you that we've got another \$24 million that's come in at the end of May and the order that we submit to Your Honor gives us the ability to add that, so it'll go up a nick or two. But we're continuing to -- I think we'll surpass 60 percent by the end of the year. We will have a second distribution; we have several settlements that are in the wings that will be coming before Your Honor shortly, within the next four to six weeks, which will add another several hundred million dollars for the distribution purposes.

And as Your Honor probably knows, we are -- we do have over \$11.2 billion, we've distributed only 8.5 of it, because there's reserves. Reserves for all what we call deemed determined, cases like Merkin and others where the off chance, we think, that we might lose, we obviously have to have the ability to --

THE COURT: Mm hmm.

MR. JACOBS: -- pay those claims. So every time we do an allocation, we reserve out those amounts. So we have over 60 percent to distribute already, but we can't do that as yet until we resolve the litigations.

THE COURT: How many claims are there in this case, or unresolved claims at this point?

Page 8 1 MR. JACOBS: There's approximately 900, Your 2 Honor. THE COURT: And are those all the subject of 3 litigation or are there claims which are disputed, but 4 5 aren't the subject of litigation? 6 MR. JACOBS: They're both. 7 THE COURT: All right. Does anyone want to be 8 heard in connection with the motion to approve the seventh 9 distribution? Yes, sir. 10 MR. BELL: Yes. Kevin Bell on behalf of the 11 Securities Investor Protection Corporation, Your Honor. 12 I think this is a landmark day, 2,744 in this 13 case. We are, with the SIPC advance of \$836 million --14 taking Mr. Sheehan's 58 percent with the SIPC advance, 15 customers have received, with allowed claims, have received 16 63 percent of their amount. And as Your Honor knows, 17 there's a subrogation factor, as customers get fully paid, 18 SIPC gets back some of the monies its advanced to put back 19 into the public trust fund we administer. 20 So clearly we're here, I agree with Mr. Sheehan, 21 the rest of the path on this case is the other 42 percent. 22 And moving to get that money back from people that have 23 other people's money, et cetera, will be -- you know, I'll -24 - we'll add to the 2,744 days as we move forward. 25 But SIPC asks the Court enter the order and

Page 9 1 supports, strongly, the motion by the Trustee. 2 THE COURT: Well, I'll certainly approve the application. I'm hard pressed to deny it, I would think. 3 So you can submit an order. And we look forward 4 5 to the next distribution. 6 MR. JACOBS: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. 8 MR. JACOBS: See you soon. 9 THE COURT: All right. We're in recess now until 11 o'clock when I'll do the Gawker matters. 10 11 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 12 10:10 AM) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

	Pg 10 of 11		
			Page 10
1	INDEX		
2			
3	RULINGS		
4		Page	Line
5	Application Approved	9	2
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 11 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Sonya Ledanski Digitally signed by Sonya Ledanski Hyde DN: cn=Sonya Ledanski Hyde, o=Veritext, 6 ou, email=digital@veritext.com, c=US Hyde Date: 2016.06.16 13:54:35 -04'00' 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 23 Mineola, NY 11501 24 25 June 16, 2016 Date: